

**Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is  
Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5  
Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)**

**Project Information**

**Project Name:** Healthy-Homes-Program

**HEROS Number:** 900000010404031

**Responsible Entity (RE):** Weber County, Ogden UT,

**State / Local Identifier:**

**RE Preparer:** Day, Summer

**Certifying Officer** Harris, Michela  
**r:**

**Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):**

**Point of Contact:**

**Consultant (if applicable):**

**Point of Contact:**

**Project Location:** 477 23rd St, Ogden, UT 84401

**Additional Location Information:**

The Healthy Homes program will be housed at the Weber-Morgan Health Department. The target area includes 11 census tracts in parts of Ogden City, South Ogden, Riverdale, Washington Terrace, and unincorporated Weber County, Utah.

**Direct Comments to:**

**Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:**

The proposed program funds will be utilized to provide housing hazard reduction services in residential units in parts of Ogden City, South Ogden, Riverdale, Washington Terrace, and unincorporated Weber County, Utah. Weber-Morgan Health Department proposes remediation of 130 residential units from May 15, 2024 through October 31, 2027. All units assisted shall be occupied by households at or below 80% of the area median income. Priority may be given to residences with a child under the age of 6 present, a pregnant female, or person 62 years or older. It is estimated that 130 risk assessments that include radon testing and lead testing on residences older than 1978, will be completed at a maximum budgeted cost per risk assessment of \$769.00 for a total cost of \$100,000.00. Tier II site-specific environmental reviews will be completed for each project location once a healthy homes risk assessment and a scope of work have been completed. Based on the assessments the project may include but is not limited to mitigation of lead and radon gas hazards, asthma trigger reduction, and trip and fall hazards. Approximately \$9,914.00 will be utilized per Healthy Homes project for a total of \$1,288,589.00 of direct repair work. The funding for each project will be justified through the healthy home assessment of 29 hazards or any other assessment as needed. This cost includes the cost of the developing the scope of work, of managing the bidding process, the signing of construction contract documents, monitoring the construction, and then the close of the project which includes a close out report. The Weber-Morgan Health Department Healthy Homes Program Tier I will be valid for 5 years.

**Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:**

[Historic preservation grant area map.pdf](#)

**Level of Environmental Review Determination:**

**Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at 58.5:**

- 58.34(a)(12)
- 58.35(a)(3)(i)
- 58.35(a)(3)(ii)

**Determination:**

✓	This categorically excluded activity/project converts to <b>EXEMPT</b> per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license; <b>Funds may be committed and drawn down after certification of this part</b> for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR
	This categorically excluded activity/project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities listed at Section 58.5 requires formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, <b>publish NOI/RROF and obtain "Authority to Use Grant Funds"</b> (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down any funds; OR

	This project is not categorically excluded OR, if originally categorically excluded, is now subject to a full Environmental Assessment according to Part 58 Subpart E due to extraordinary circumstances (Section 58.35(c)).
--	--

**Approval Documents:**

**7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer on:**

**7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer on:**

**Funding Information**

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name	Funding Amount
UTHHP0144-24-WMHD	Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control		\$2,000,000.00

**Estimated Total HUD Funded, Assisted or Insured Amount:** \$2,000,000.00

**Estimated Total Project Cost:** \$2,252,027.00

**Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities**

<b>Compliance Factors:</b> Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)
<b>STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 &amp; § 58.6</b>		
<b>Airport Hazards</b> Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	The project is in an Accident Potential Zone (APZ). However, it does not involve new construction; substantial rehabilitation; acquisition of undeveloped land; activities that would significantly prolong the physical or

		<p>economic life of existing facilities or change the use of the facility to a use that is not consistent with the recommendations of the DOD's Land Use Compatibility Guidelines; activities that would significantly increase the density or number of people at the site; or introduce explosive, flammable or toxic materials to the area. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. The project area is located near one civil airport, Ogden-Hinckley Airport, and one military airfield, Hill Air Force Base. ArcGIS software was utilized to create the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ)/ Clear Zone (CZ), a 2,500 foot buffer zone around all civil runways and an Accident Potential Zone (APZ) a 15,000 foot buffer zone around all Military runways. The grant area includes 14,413 unique land parcels. Of those 10,363 may be utilized as residential dwellings. These include single family dwelling, multifamily dwelling, commercial lot with single family dwellings etc. An example of the buffer shapefile and study area can be found on Appendix A An analysis of the residential dwelling units within the RPZ/CZ zone resulted in 16 parcels which have the potential to submit application for consideration of HUD funds. If owners of any of these 16 parcels submit application to be considered for funds as part of this program they would be denied. This is based on the scope of work being considered substantial rehabilitation that would significantly prolong the physical or economic life of existing facilities that will be frequently used or occupied by people. The project cannot be brought into compliant with this section. Federal assistance or approval must be denied. The same analysis of the residential dwelling units within the</p>
--	--	---

		<p>APZ zone resulted in up to 1,020 parcel which have the potential to submit application for consideration of HUD funds. If owners of any of these 1,020 parcels submit application to be considered for funds as part of this program, the project will be reviewed for compliance with the DOD guidelines before any agreement of funding would be made. This determination would be made as part of the Tier II site-specific environmental review. A process has been created to ensure compliance with HUD Regulation 24 CFR part 51 subpart D. See mitigation strategies Mitigation Strategy All individual projects considered to receive HUD funds will be reviewed through a Tier II process. During this process the individual parcel will be verified as existing outside of the RPZ/CZ and APZ zone. This verification process will be completed through ArcGIS viewer created for the sole purpose of Tier II reviews. An example of that mapping system showing the RPZ/CZ and APZ zone and residential dwelling within the grant area has been include in Appendix B</p>
<p><b>Coastal Barrier Resources Act</b> Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.</p>
<p><b>Flood Insurance</b> Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a]</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>The structure or insurable property is located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. The community is participating in the National Flood Insurance Program. For loans, loan insurance or guarantees, the amount of flood insurance coverage must at least equal the outstanding principal balance of the loan or the maximum limit of coverage made available under the National Flood Insurance Program, whichever is less. For grants and other non-loan forms of financial assistance,</p>

		<p>flood insurance coverage must be continued for the life of the building irrespective of the transfer of ownership. The amount of coverage must at least equal the total project cost or the maximum coverage limit of the National Flood Insurance Program, whichever is less. With flood insurance the project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements. As identified in the Flood Management section of this Environmental Review the Healthy Home Projects area includes 10,363 parcels which may be utilized as residential dwellings. Of those 231 residential dwellings are within the Moderate Flood Hazard Areas, and 72 residential dwellings within the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA). To ensure compliance with the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a] we have verified that all cities and or areas of unincorporated Weber County included in the Healthy Homes Project are participating entities in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Those entities participating in the NFIP included: Weber County, Ogden City, South Ogden City, Washington Terrace City, and Riverdale City. Appendix C includes a NFIP map Mitigation Strategy: FEMA.gov will be accessed for creation of FIRMet as part of Tier II site-specific environmental reviews If the parcel being reviewed is located in a SFHA, the project will be approved with the condition "Flood Insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program must be obtained and maintained for the economic life of the activity to cover the total activity cost". A copy of the flood insurance policy declaration must be kept for the project life and provided to the Environmental Review Officer.</p>
--	--	--

<b>STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 &amp; § 58.5</b>		
<p><b>Air Quality</b> Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) &amp; (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. Federal projects must conform to the CAA (Clean Air Act) requirements if they may constitute a significant new source of air pollution. Compliance Steps: Determine if the project includes certain types of new construction or conversion that could trigger the CAA. If there are no activities that would require further evaluation under the CAA, the project is in compliance. This project does not include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units. For Asbestos: Compliance with NESHAP (National Emissions Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants) and 40 CFR subpart M must be addressed for renovation or demolition of commercial buildings including multi-family buildings. Only single family dwellings that are part of a commercial project require compliance.</p>
<p><b>Coastal Zone Management Act</b> Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) &amp; (d)</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>This project is located in a state that does not participate in the Coastal Zone Management Program. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.</p>
<p><b>Contamination and Toxic Substances</b> 24 CFR 50.3(i) &amp; 58.5(i)(2)]</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements. A site visit will be conducted to document if there are visible dumps, landfills,</p>

		<p>industrials sites or other locations containing or releasing toxic, hazardous, radioactive, materials, chemicals or hazardous wastes on or near the site. Site screening will include a search of all pertinent publicly available information including: historic land uses, an Environmental Compliance Search (governmental record search Federal Database ECHO, CRECLIS, NEPA assist, Utah Division of Environmental Quality (UDEQ) UST, UDEQ hazardous substances, and UDEQ spill database, local Planning Department and historic maps. Onsite conditions and photographs of environs will be documented via written summary. The EPA NEPAassist GIS database will be accessed for facility records for one-mile surrounding the project parcel. For any regulated facility in the one mile radius currently in non-complaint status, an "ECHO-detailing report" will be completed to determine nature of facility's non-compliance. If pathways of contamination exist from the non-compliant facility, the site project will be denied HUD assistance. Non Scope items will be evaluated in addition to those defined in the ASTM standards. These include Lead, and Radon which will be performed as part of Tier II site-specific environmental review.</p>
<p><b>Endangered Species Act</b> Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act. According to the IPaC Resource List, (3) threatened and/or endangered species may be located within the Healthy Home project area; the Yellow-billed Cuckoo <i>Coccyzus americanus</i>, The Monarch Butterfly <i>Danaus plexippus</i>, and the UTE Ladies'-tresses <i>Spiranthes diluvialis</i>. No Critical Habitats were found within the</p>



		<p>Healthy Home project area. The United States Department of Interior Fish and Wildlife Service Endangered Species Report generated utilizing the Healthy Home project area as an Area of Interest (AIO) is included as Appendix D. As part of the ESA Section 7 Initial Screening and Further Analysis Flowchart, Appendix E, a determination of "No Adverse Effect" has been made. All individual projects funded through the Healthy Home grant will be targeting the reduction of the healthy home assessment of 29 hazards. The scope of work will focus on improving the conditions within existing residential dwelling units. Predominately the work will occur in the interior of the homes with only minor exterior repair in the cases of major deterioration. No habitat impact is expected to occur associated with the Healthy Home Project. However precautions will be taken to not remove trees and or vegetation as part of the scope of work. No endangered fish species as listed by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) National Marine Fisheries Service were identified in the Healthy Home Project Area due to its location in an inland State.</p>
<p><b>Explosive and Flammable Hazards Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. The proposed project does not include development, construction, rehabilitation or conversion that will increase residential densities. As per 24 CFR 51.201 definition HUD-assisted project--. For purposes of this subpart the terms "rehabilitation" and "modernization" refer only to such repairs and renovation of a building or buildings as</p>

		<p>will result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project will not increase the number or people being exposed to hazardous operation. The project will only rehabilitate existing dwelling structure. Thus the project is exempted from 24 CFR part 51 Subpart C.</p>
<p><b>Farmlands Protection</b> Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural lands to a non-agricultural uses. Thus the project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658 To ensure that our office fulfilled all aspects of the Farmland Protection Policy Act we completed the Prime and Important Farmland Study Utilizing the Healthy Home Project area as the Area of Interest though the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Website. URL included below <a href="https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm">https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm</a> The generated report from the NRCS is included in Appendix F. While the area surrounding the Healthy Home Project area does have Prime and Important Farmlands, all potential recipients of the HUD funds will be existing residential dwelling. As stated above no conversion of agricultural lands will be converted to nonagricultural lands as part of the Health Homes project.</p>
<p><b>Floodplain Management</b> Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>This project is located in a 100-year floodplain. The 5-Step Process is applicable per 55.12(a)(1-4). With the 5-Step Process the project will be in compliance with Executive Order 11988. To complete the Flood Management Section of the Environmental Review,</p>

	<p>FEMA flood Insurance Rate Maps (FRIMs) were obtained from <a href="https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home">https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home</a>. The Healthy Homes Project area includes all or portions of eleven FEMA FIRM panels. Those panels and their effective dates are as follows: 49057C0427F eff 11/30/2023, 49057C0426F eff 11/30/2023, 49057C0429F eff. 06/5/2015, 49057C0428F eff 11/30/2023, 49057C0409F eff 11/30/2023, 49057C0431F eff. 11/30/2023, 49057C0214F eff 11/30/2023, 49057C0213 eff 11/30/2023, 49057C0436G eff 11/30/2023, 49057C0417F eff 11/30/2023 and 49057C0407F eff 11/30/2023. Utilizing an ArcGIS Weber County parcel shapefile, and Census tract polygon shapefile from which the Healthy Home Project area was defined the following information was obtained. The grant area includes 14,413 unique land parcels. Of those 10,363 may be utilized as residential dwellings. Residential dwelling units include single family dwelling, multifamily dwelling, commercial lot with single family dwellings etc. On March 2, 2024 an interactive ArcGIS FEMA FRIM map was downloaded and verified as consistent with the current FEMA FRIM data available through <a href="https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home">https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home</a> The ArcGIS FEMA FRIM shapefile was used to analysis the residential dwelling parcel within the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) and Moderate Flood Hazard Areas. A Map of the FEMA FIRM and the Healthy Home Project area can be found on Appendix G. An example the SFHA impacted parcel can be found on Appendix H. The analysis of the residential dwelling units within the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) resulted in 72 parcels which have the</p>
--	--

		<p>potential to submit application for consideration of HUD funds. SFHA, is defined as the area that will be inundated by the flood event having a 1-percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The 1-percent annual chance flood is also referred to as the base flood or 100-year flood. SFHAs are those areas labeled as Zone A, Zone AO, Zone AH, Zones A1-A30, Zone AE, Zone A99, Zone AR, Zone AR/AE, Zone AR/AO, Zone AR/A1-A30, Zone AR/A, Zone V, Zone VE, and Zones V1-V30. The same analysis of the residential dwelling units within the Moderate Flood Hazard Areas resulted in up to 231 parcels which have the potential to submit application for consideration of HUD funds. The Moderate Flood Hazard Areas are included those labeled as Zone B or Zone X (shaded), areas between the limits of the base flood and the 0.2-percent-annual-chance (or 500-year) flood. Mitigation Strategy: As a mitigation strategy an ArcGIS viewer which can be utilized to verify each parcels proximity to the FEMA SFHA and Moderate Flood Hazard Areas has been created. Any parcel found to be within the SFHA or any Critical Action proposed to be within the Moderate Flood Hazard Area will be required to be reviewed through the 8 step process outlined in 24CFR Part 55.20. Certain activities are exempt from the 8 step process and may be complete in an abbreviated 5-step process outlined in 24 CFR 55.20 as outlined in 24 CRFR 55.12 Critical Actions, are emergencies services fire and police protection, housing for sensitive populations such as hospitals or nursing home, courthouse, and public facilities like water plant.</p>
<p><b>Historic Preservation</b> National Historic Preservation Act of</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Based on Section 106 consultation the project will have an Adverse Effect on</p>

<p>1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800</p>		<p>historic properties. With mitigation, as identified in the MOA or SMMA, the project will be in compliance with Section 106. Satisfactory implementation of the mitigation should be monitored. Site specific reviews must consider whether the action will affect historic resources. For each proposed project, the environmental review preparer will consult with Utah's State Historic Preservation Office for determination of historical status and upload documentation supporting determination and mitigation as necessary. Tribal Consultation letters were sent to the five tribal contacts listed on the TDAT Report with an area of interest of Weber County, UT. The tribes notified included: the Eastern Shoshone Tribe of the Wind River Reservation, the Northwestern Band of the Shoshone Nation, and the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes of the Fort Hall Reservation. The preparer will determine during site specific reviews if addition consult with interested tribal residents and/or the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer. If the project will involve an historic property, only scope of work that have no adverse effect on historic properties will be approved.</p>
<p><b>Noise Abatement and Control</b> Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>The project is modernization or minor rehabilitation of an existing residential property. A Preliminary Screening was performed, and found the following: For project which includes two or more major building components such as: For these projects noise assessments will be performed and if the noise level is normally unacceptable or unacceptable noise attenuation features will be strongly encouraged (24 CFR 51.101 [a][5]). The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation with mitigation.</p>

<p><b>Sole Source Aquifers</b> Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Based on the project description, the project consists of activities that are unlikely to have an adverse impact on groundwater resources. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. The extent of the Healthy Home Project area has been verified as outside of any identified Sole Source Aquifer (SSA). The reference material used to verify this has been obtained from the EPA's SSA interactive map URL below. <a href="https://epa.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=9ebb047ba3ec41ada1877155fe31356b">https://epa.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=9ebb047ba3ec41ada1877155fe31356b</a> An ArcGIS shapefile of the SSAs created on 4/15/2024, was downloaded from the URL link below and verified as consistent with the EPA's Sole Source Aquifer Map. The shapefile was utilized to create the map image Appendix I showing the proximity of the grant area from any SSA. <a href="https://services2.arcgis.com/S8zZg9pg23JUEexQ/arcgis/rest/services/HYDRO_Sole_Source_Aquifers_spf/FeatureServer">https://services2.arcgis.com/S8zZg9pg23JUEexQ/arcgis/rest/services/HYDRO_Sole_Source_Aquifers_spf/FeatureServer</a> The nearest SSA to the project area is the Western Uinta Arch Paleozoic Aquifer System at Oakley, Utah which is approximately 50 mile at a minimum for the project area.</p>
<p><b>Wetlands Protection</b> Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. The proposed project includes only renovations of existing residential structures. Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. Therefore, the project is in compliance with the Executive Order 1199.0 and Section 404 of the clean water act To be diligent in the ERR process our office</p>

		<p>has completed a review of residential units which may apply for funding under this grant that are within an area delineated as a wetland. Utilizing an ArcGIS Weber County parcel shapefile, and Census tract polygon shapefile from which the Healthy Home Project area was defined the following information was obtained. The grant area includes 14,413 unique land parcels. Of those 10,363 may be utilized as residential dwellings. Residential dwelling units include single family dwelling, multifamily dwelling, commercial lot with single family dwellings etc. An interactive ArcGIS Wetland map (shapefile) was downloaded from a website operated by the National Wetland Inventory <a href="https://fwsprimary.wim.usgs.gov/wetlands/apps/wetlands-mapper/">https://fwsprimary.wim.usgs.gov/wetlands/apps/wetlands-mapper/</a>. The wetland map was downloaded on May 23, 2024. The ArcGIS Wetland shapefile was used to analysis the residential dwelling units within delineated wetlands. A map of the Wetland delineation and the Healthy Home Project area can be found on Appendix J. An example of the impacted parcel can be found on Appendix K. The analysis of the residential dwelling units within the wetland delineation resulted in 249 parcel which have the potential to submit application for consideration of funds that are within an identified wetland. As part of Tier II site-specific environmental reviews which will be completed for each project location, each residence will be verified as outside of the wetland delineation area; or alternatively identified as within the wetland delineation. If the individual project location is within a wetland delineation area, a detailed map of wetland delineation overlaid onto the parcel will</p>
--	--	---

		<p>be generated. Additionally, documentation of the type of wetlands i.e. manmade canal, emergent wetlands etc. will also be included.</p>
<p><b>Wild and Scenic Rivers Act</b> Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. The extent of the Healthy Home Project area has been verified as outside any area of potential impact to a Wild and Scenic River Segment (WASR) or a National Wild River Systems (NWRS) including any study river of a Nation River Inventory (NRI) segment. The reference material used to verify this has been obtained from the WASR and NRI interactive maps, URLs below. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic River Act 16U.S.C. 1271-1287 without implementation of any mitigation strategy  <a href="https://www.rivers.gov/resources/river-management-plans">https://www.rivers.gov/resources/river-management-plans</a>  <a href="https://www.nps.gov/orgs/1084/index.htm">https://www.nps.gov/orgs/1084/index.htm</a> The nearest NRI segment to the project area is the Rock Creek (River), Headwaters Southerly to boundary of High Uinta's Wilderness (Reach), Utah which is approximately 70 miles at a minimum for the project area. Appendix L The nearest WASR segment to the project area is the Green Wild &amp; Scenic River in Utah which is approximately 165 mile at a minimum for the project area Appendix M The ArcGIS shapefile data sources (URL) utilized for the creation of the maps shown in Appendix L and Appendix M are referenced below                  * NPS National WASR Inventory  <a href="https://apps.fs.usda.gov/arcx/rest/services/EDW/EDW_WildScenicRiverSegments_01/MapServer/1">https://apps.fs.usda.gov/arcx/rest/services/EDW/EDW_WildScenicRiverSegments_01/MapServer/1</a>  <a href="https://mapservices.nps.gov">https://mapservices.nps.gov</a> date created 9/12/2019 * NPS National Wild River Inventory Layer downloaded from</p>



		https://mapservices.nps.gov date created 3/2/2021
<b>HUD HOUSING ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS</b>		
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE</b>		
<b>Environmental Justice</b> Executive Order 12898	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898. The proposed project will not create disproportionate environmental impacts or aggravate an existing impact. It will not have a disproportionate adverse impact on minority or low income populations. This project is not in an environmental justice community of concern. The project will ensure that the HUD's Environmental Justice Strategies (updated April 2012) and its guiding principle of: 1) comprehensive, holistic approach to problem solving, 2) Tribal Consultations, 3) Fairness, equality, non-discriminations, and equal opportunity and 4) Transparency and accountability, are considered for all funded projects. The Project will not cause an impact, including those that may adversely impact a minority population, low income population or Indian tribe such as: ecological, social impact, impact on the natural or psychical environment, or adverse environmental hazards.

**Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]:**

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on Completed Measures	Mitigation Plan	Complete
Flood Insurance	<p>For loans, loan insurance or guarantees, the amount of flood insurance coverage must at least equal the outstanding principal balance of the loan or the maximum limit of coverage made available under the National Flood Insurance Program, whichever is less. For grants and other non-loan forms of financial assistance, flood insurance coverage must be continued for the life of the building irrespective of the transfer of ownership. The amount of coverage must at least equal the total project cost or the maximum coverage limit of the National Flood Insurance Program, whichever is less.</p>	N/A		
Floodplain Management	<p>The analysis of the residential dwelling units within the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) resulted in 72 parcels which have the potential to submit application for consideration of HUD funds. SFHA, is defined as the area that will be inundated by the flood event having a 1-percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The 1-percent annual chance flood is also referred to as the base flood or 100-year flood. SFHAs are those areas labeled as Zone A, Zone AO, Zone AH, Zones A1-A30, Zone AE, Zone A99, Zone AR, Zone AR/AE, Zone AR/AO, Zone AR/A1-A30, Zone AR/A, Zone V, Zone VE, and Zones V1-V30.</p> <p>As a mitigation strategy an</p>	N/A		

	<p>ArcGIS viewer which can be utilized to verify each parcels proximity to the FEMA SFHA and Moderate Flood Hazard Areas has been created. Any parcel found to be within the SFHA or any Critical Action proposed to be within the Moderate Flood Hazard Area will be required to be reviewed through the 8 step process outlined in 24CFR Part 55.20. Certain activities are exempt from the 8 step process and may be complete in an abbreviated 5-step process outlined in 24 CFR 55.20 as outlined in 24 CRFR 55.12 Critical Actions, are emergencies services fire and police protection, housing for sensitive populations such as hospitals or nursing home, courthouse, and public facilities like water plant.</p>			
<p>Historic Preservation</p>	<p>The most likely mitigations strategy will be to restrict the scope of work to the interiors of the residence. Restricting the work to areas that will not change the historic appearance of the residence. In the case of a Tribal Consulting Agency, we will first review their concerns and collaborate with them to ensure any agreed upon scope of work and or not fund rehabilitation to that specific residence.</p>	<p>N/A</p>		
<p>Noise Abatement and Control</p>	<p>The proposed project will not provide for any new construction. Substantial rehabilitation is not likely since the average funding per project will be less than the 15% of median price of the property's replacement cost (fair market value) after the completion. If a</p>	<p>N/A</p>		

	project includes two or more major building components such as: roof structures, wall or floor structures, foundations, and plumbing, central heating and air conditioning, or electrical systems where more than 50% of each component is replaced this would be considered substantial rehabilitation. For these projects noise assessments will be performed and if the noise level is normally unacceptable or unacceptable noise attenuation features will be strongly encouraged (24 CFR 51.101 [a][5]).			
--	--	--	--	--

**Project Mitigation Plan**

Site-specific mitigation plans will be created for each individual residence considered for funding as part of the Weber-Morgan Health Department Healthy Home Grant. This site-specific review will be done as part of the Tier II Environmental Review. Many sections of law identified above as requiring mitigation will likely not apply to most or any of our applicant. Applications will be review for compliance for all pertinent section of laws, and a site-specific mitigation plan will be created.

**Supporting documentation on completed measures**

**APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities**

**Airport Hazards**

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD’s policy to apply standards to prevent incompatible development around civil airports and military airfields.		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D

**1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site’s proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?**

No

Yes

**2. Is your project located within a Runway Protection Zone/Clear Zone (RPZ/CZ) or Accident Potential Zone (APZ) ?**

Yes, project is in an APZ

Yes, project is an RPZ/CZ

No, project is not within an APZ or RPZ/CZ

**3. APZ site.** Does your project involve: new construction; **substantial rehabilitation** ; acquisition of undeveloped land; activities that would significantly prolong the physical or economic life of existing facilities or change the use of the facility to a use that is not consistent with the recommendations of the **DOD’s Land Use Compatibility Guidelines** ; activities that would significantly increase the density or number of people at the site; or introduce explosive, flammable or toxic materials to the area?

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

The project is in an Accident Potential Zone (APZ). However, it does not involve new construction; substantial rehabilitation; acquisition of undeveloped land; activities that would significantly prolong the physical or economic life of existing facilities or change the use of the facility to a use that is not consistent with the recommendations of the DOD's Land Use Compatibility Guidelines; activities that would significantly increase the density or number of people at the site; or introduce explosive, flammable or toxic materials to the area. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. The project area is located near one civil airport, Ogden-Hinckley Airport, and one military airfield, Hill Air Force Base. ArcGIS software was utilized to create the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ)/ Clear Zone (CZ), a 2,500 foot buffer zone around all civil runways and an Accident Potential Zone (APZ) a 15,000 foot buffer zone around all Military runways. The grant area includes 14,413 unique land parcels. Of those 10,363 may be utilized as residential dwellings. These include single family dwelling, multifamily dwelling, commercial lot with single family dwellings etc. An example of the buffer shapefile and study area can be found on Appendix A. An analysis of the residential dwelling units within the RPZ/CZ zone resulted in 16 parcels which have the potential to submit application for consideration of HUD funds. If owners of any of these 16 parcels submit application to be considered for funds as part of this program they would be denied. This is based on the scope of work being considered substantial rehabilitation that would significantly prolong the physical or economic life of existing facilities that will be frequently used or occupied by people. The project cannot be brought into compliance with this section. Federal assistance or approval must be denied. The same analysis of the residential dwelling units within the APZ zone resulted in up to 1,020 parcel which have the potential to submit application for consideration of HUD funds. If owners of any of these 1,020 parcels submit application to be considered for funds as part of this program, the project will be reviewed for compliance with the DOD guidelines before any agreement of funding would be made. This determination would be made as part of the Tier II site-specific environmental review. A process has been created to ensure compliance with HUD Regulation 24 CFR part 51 subpart D. See mitigation strategies. Mitigation Strategy All individual projects considered to receive HUD funds will be reviewed through a Tier II process. During this process the individual parcel will be verified as existing outside of the RPZ/CZ and APZ zone. This verification process will be completed through ArcGIS viewer created for the sole purpose of Tier II reviews. An example of that mapping system showing the RPZ/CZ and APZ zone and residential dwelling within the grant area has been include in Appendix B

**Supporting documentation**

[Appendix B RPZ CZ APZ dwellings.pdf](#)

[Appendix A aiprot runway zones.pdf](#)

**Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

Yes

No

**Coastal Barrier Resources**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be used for most activities in units of the Coastal Barrier Resources System (CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations on federal expenditures affecting the CBRS.	Coastal Barrier Resources Act (CBRA) of 1982, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	

**This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.**

**Screen Summary**

**Compliance Determination**

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

**Supporting documentation**

**Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

Yes

✓ No



## Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be used in floodplains unless the community participates in National Flood Insurance Program and flood insurance is both obtained and maintained.	Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 as amended (42 USC 4001-4128)	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1) and 24 CFR 58.6(a) and (b); 24 CFR 55.1(b).

**1. Does this project involve financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?**

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

Yes

**2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:**

[FEMA FIRM MAP.pdf](#)

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The [FEMA Map Service Center](#) provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

**Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area?**

No

Yes

**3. Is the community participating in the National Flood Insurance Program or has less than one year passed since FEMA notification of Special Flood Hazards?**

Yes, the community is participating in the National Flood Insurance Program.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program must be obtained and maintained for the economic life of the project, in the amount of the total project cost or the maximum coverage limit, whichever is less.

Document and upload a copy of the flood insurance policy declaration or a paid receipt for the current annual flood insurance premium and a copy of the application for flood insurance below.

Yes, less than one year has passed since FEMA notification of Special Flood Hazards.

No. The community is not participating, or its participation has been suspended.

### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

The structure or insurable property is located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. The community is participating in the National Flood Insurance Program. For loans, loan insurance or guarantees, the amount of flood insurance coverage must at least equal the outstanding principal balance of the loan or the maximum limit of coverage made available under the National Flood Insurance Program, whichever is less. For grants and other non-loan forms of financial assistance, flood insurance coverage must be continued for the life of the building irrespective of the transfer of ownership. The amount of coverage must at least equal the total project cost or the maximum coverage limit of the National Flood Insurance Program, whichever is less. With flood insurance the project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements. As identified in the Flood Management section of this Environmental Review the Healthy Home Projects area includes 10,363 parcels which may be utilized as residential dwellings. Of those 231 residential dwellings are within the Moderate Flood Hazard Areas, and 72 residential dwellings within the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA). To ensure compliance with the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a] we have verified that all cities and or areas of unincorporated Weber County included in the Healthy Homes Project are participating entities in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Those entities participating in the NFIP included: Weber County, Ogden City, South Ogden City, Washington Terrace City, and Riverdale City. Appendix C includes a NFIP map Mitigation Strategy: FEMA.gov will be accessed for creation of FIRMette as part of Tier II site-specific environmental reviews If the parcel being reviewed is located in a SFHA, the project will be approved with the condition "Flood Insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program must be obtained and maintained for the economic life of the activity to cover the total activity cost". A copy

of the flood insurance policy declaration must be kept for the project life and provided to the Environmental Review Officer.

**Supporting documentation**

[Appendix C\\_NFIP\\_A.pdf](#)

**Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

Yes

No

**Air Quality**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
<p>The Clean Air Act is administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which sets national standards on ambient pollutants. In addition, the Clean Air Act is administered by States, which must develop State Implementation Plans (SIPs) to regulate their state air quality. Projects funded by HUD must demonstrate that they conform to the appropriate SIP.</p>	<p>Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et seq.) as amended particularly Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC 7506(c) and (d))</p>	<p>40 CFR Parts 6, 51 and 93</p>

**1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?**

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

**Screen Summary**

**Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. Federal projects must conform to the CAA (Clean Air Act) requirements if they may constitute a significant new source of air pollution. Compliance Steps: Determine if the project includes certain types of new construction or conversion that could trigger the CAA. If there are no activities that would require further evaluation under the CAA, the project is in compliance. This project does not include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units. For Asbestos: Compliance with NESHAP (National Emissions Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants) and 40 CFR subpart M must be addressed for renovation or demolition of commercial buildings including multi-family buildings. Only single family dwellings that are part of a commercial project require compliance.

**Supporting documentation**

**Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

Yes

✓ No

**Coastal Zone Management Act**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant agencies for activities affecting any coastal use or resource is granted only when such activities are consistent with federally approved State Coastal Zone Management Act Plans.	Coastal Zone Management Act (16 USC 1451-1464), particularly section 307(c) and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and (d))	15 CFR Part 930

**This project is located in a state that does not participate in the Coastal Zone Management Program. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.**

**Screen Summary**

**Compliance Determination**

This project is located in a state that does not participate in the Coastal Zone Management Program. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

**Supporting documentation**

**Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

Yes

✓ No

**Contamination and Toxic Substances**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being proposed for use in HUD programs be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the property.		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2) 24 CFR 50.3(i)

**1. How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.**

- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)
- ASTM Phase II ESA
- Remediation or clean-up plan
- ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening
- None of the Above

**2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)**

- No
- Yes

**Screen Summary**

**Compliance Determination**

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements. A site visit will be conducted to document if there are visible dumps, landfills, industrial sites or other locations containing or releasing toxic, hazardous, radioactive, materials, chemicals or hazardous wastes on or near the site. Site screening will include a search of all pertinent publicly available information including: historic land uses, an

Environmental Compliance Search (governmental record search Federal Database ECHO, CRECLIS, NEPA assist, Utah Division of Environmental Quality (UDEQ) UST, UDEQ hazardous substances, and UDEQ spill database, local Planning Department and historic maps. Onsite conditions and photographs of environs will be documented via written summary. The EPA NEPAassist GIS database will be accessed for facility records for one-mile surrounding the project parcel. For any regulated facility in the one mile radius currently in non-complaint status, an "ECHO-detailing report" will be completed to determine nature of facility's non-compliance. If pathways of contamination exist from the non-compliant facility, the site project will be denied HUD assistance. Non Scope items will be evaluated in addition to those defined in the ASTM standards. These include Lead, and Radon which will be performed as part of Tier II site-specific environmental review.

**Supporting documentation****Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

Yes

 No



**Endangered Species**

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) mandates that federal agencies ensure that actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out shall not jeopardize the continued existence of federally listed plants and animals or result in the adverse modification or destruction of designated critical habitat. Where their actions may affect resources protected by the ESA, agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries Service (“FWS” and “NMFS” or “the Services”).	The Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 <i>et seq.</i> ); particularly section 7 (16 USC 1536).	50 CFR Part 402

**1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect species or habitats?**

- ✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

This selection is only appropriate if none of the activities involved in the project have potential to affect species or habitats. Examples of actions without potential to affect listed species may include: purchasing existing buildings, completing interior renovations to existing buildings, and replacing exterior paint or siding on existing buildings.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

**Screen Summary**

**Compliance Determination**

This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act. According to the IPaC Resource List, (3) threatened and/or endangered species may be located within the Healthy Home project area; the Yellow-billed Cuckoo *Coccyzus americanus*, The Monarch Butterfly *Danaus plexippus*, and the UTE Ladies'-tresses

Spiranthes diluvialis. No Critical Habitats were found within the Healthy Home project area. The United States Department of Interior Fish and Wildlife Service Endangered Species Report generated utilizing the Healthy Home project area as an Area of Interest (AIO) is included as Appendix D. As part of the ESA Section 7 Initial Screening and Further Analysis Flowchart, Appendix E, a determination of "No Adverse Effect" has been made. All individual projects funded through the Healthy Home grant will be targeting the reduction of the healthy home assessment of 29 hazards. The scope of work will focus on improving the conditions within existing residential dwelling units. Predominately the work will occur in the interior of the homes with only minor exterior repair in the cases of major deterioration. No habitat impact is expected to occur associated with the Healthy Home Project. However precautions will be taken to not remove trees and or vegetation as part of the scope of work. No endangered fish species as listed by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) National Marine Fisheries Service were identified in the Healthy Home Project Area due to its location in an inland State.

**Supporting documentation**

[Appendix E ESA Section 7 Flow Chart.pdf](#)

[Appendix D Species List Utah Ecological Services Field Office.pdf](#)

**Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

Yes

✓ No

**Explosive and Flammable Hazards**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD) requirements to protect them from explosive and flammable hazards.	N/A	24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C

**1. Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?**

No

Yes

**2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?**

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

**Screen Summary**

**Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. The proposed project does not include development, construction, rehabilitation or conversion that will increase residential densities. As per 24 CFR 51.201 definition HUD-assisted project--. For purposes of this subpart the terms "rehabilitation" and "modernization" refer only to such repairs and renovation of a building or buildings as will result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project will not increase the number or people being exposed to hazardous operation. The project will only rehabilitate existing dwelling structure. Thus the project is exempted from 24 CFR part 51 Subpart C.

**Supporting documentation**

**Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

Yes

No

**Farmlands Protection**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) discourages federal activities that would convert farmland to nonagricultural purposes.	Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201 et seq.)	<a href="#">7 CFR Part 658</a>

**1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?**

Yes

No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

**Screen Summary**

**Compliance Determination**

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural lands to a non-agricultural uses. Thus the project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658 To ensure that our office fulfilled all aspects of the Farmland Protection Policy Act we completed the Prime and Important Farmland Study Utilizing the Healthy Home Project area as the Area of Interest though the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Website. URL included below <https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm> The generated report from the NRCS is included in Appendix F. While the area surrounding the Healthy Home Project area does have Prime and Important Farmlands, all potential recipients of the HUD funds will be existing residential dwelling. As stated above no conversion of agricultural lands will be converted to nonagricultural lands as part of the Health Homes project.

**Supporting documentation**

[Appendix F NCRS Prime and other Important Farmlands--Davis-Weber Area Utah and Uinta National Forest Area Utah Parts of .pdf](#)

**Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

Yes

No

## Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management, requires federal activities to avoid impacts to floodplains and to avoid direct and indirect support of floodplain development to the extent practicable.	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55

**1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]**

55.12(c)(3)

55.12(c)(4)

55.12(c)(5)

55.12(c)(6)

55.12(c)(7)

55.12(c)(8)

55.12(c)(9)

55.12(c)(10)

55.12(c)(11)

None of the above

**2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:**

[FEMA FIRM MAP.pdf](#)

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

**Does your project occur in a floodplain?**

No

Yes

Select the applicable floodplain using the FEMA map or the best available information:

Floodway

Coastal High Hazard Area (V Zone)

✓ 100-year floodplain (A Zone)

500-year floodplain (B Zone or shaded X Zone)

### **8-Step Process**

**Does the 8-Step Process apply? Select one of the following options:**

#### **8-Step Process applies**

✓ **5-Step Process** is applicable per 55.12(a)(1-4). Provide documentation of 5-Step Process.

Document and upload the completed 5-Step Process below.  
Select the applicable citation: [only one can be selected]

55.12(a)(1)

55.12(a)(2)

✓ 55.12(a)(3)

55.12(a)(4)

**8-Step Process** is inapplicable per 55.12(b)(1-5).

### **Mitigation**

**For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation. This information will be automatically included in the Mitigation summary for the**



**environmental review. If negative effects cannot be mitigated, cancel the project using the button at the bottom of this screen.**

The analysis of the residential dwelling units within the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) resulted in 72 parcels which have the potential to submit application for consideration of HUD funds. SFHA, is defined as the area that will be inundated by the flood event having a 1-percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The 1-percent annual chance flood is also referred to as the base flood or 100-year flood. SFHAs are those areas labeled as Zone A, Zone AO, Zone AH, Zones A1-A30, Zone AE, Zone A99, Zone AR, Zone AR/AE, Zone AR/AO, Zone AR/A1-A30, Zone AR/A, Zone V, Zone VE, and Zones V1-V30.

As a mitigation strategy an ArcGIS viewer which can be utilized to verify each parcels proximity to the FEMA SFHA and Moderate Flood Hazard Areas has been created. Any parcel found to be within the SFHA or any Critical Action proposed to be within the Moderate Flood Hazard Area will be required to be reviewed through the 8 step process outlined in 24CFR Part 55.20. Certain activities are exempt from the 8 step process and may be complete in an abbreviated 5-step process outlined in 24 CFR 55.20 as outlined in 24 CFR 55.12 Critical Actions, are emergencies services fire and police protection, housing for sensitive populations such as hospitals or nursing home, courthouse, and public facilities like water plant.

**Which of the following mitigation/minimization measures have been identified for this project in the 8-Step or 5-Step Process? Select all that apply.**

- ✓ Permeable surfaces
- ✓ Natural landscape enhancements that maintain or restore natural hydrology
- ✓ Planting or restoring native plant species
- ✓ Bioswales
- ✓ Evapotranspiration
- ✓ Stormwater capture and reuse

Green or vegetative roofs with drainage provisions

Natural Resources Conservation Service conservation easements or similar easements

Floodproofing of structures

Elevating structures including freeboarding above the required base flood

elevations

Other

### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

This project is located in a 100-year floodplain. The 5-Step Process is applicable per 55.12(a)(1-4). With the 5-Step Process the project will be in compliance with Executive Order 11988. To complete the Flood Management Section of the Environmental Review, FEMA flood Insurance Rate Maps (FRIMs) were obtained from <https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home>. The Healthy Homes Project area includes all or portions of eleven FEMA FIRM panels. Those panels and their effective dated are as follows: 49057C0427F eff 11/30/2023, 49057C0426F eff 11/30/2023, 49057C0429F eff. 06/5/2015, 49057C0428F eff 11/30/2023, 49057C0409F eff 11/30/2023, 49057C0431F eff. 11/30/2023, 49057C0214F eff 11/30/2023, 49057C0213 eff 11/30/2023, 49057C0436G eff 11/30/2023, 49057C0417F eff 11/30/2023 and 49057C0407F eff 11/30/2023. Utilizing an ArcGIS Weber County parcel shapefile, and Census tract polygon shapefile from which the Healthy Home Project area was defined the following information was obtained. The grant area includes 14,413 unique land parcels. Of those 10,363 may be utilized as residential dwellings. Residential dwelling units include single family dwelling, multifamily dwelling, commercial lot with single family dwellings etc. On March 2, 2024 an interactive ArcGIS FEMA FRIM map was downloaded and verified as consistent with the current FEMA FRIM data available through <https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home> The ArcGIS FEMA FRIM shapefile was used to analysis the residential dwelling parcel within the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) and Moderate Flood Hazard Areas. A Map of the FEMA FIRM and the Healthy Home Project area can be found on Appendix G. An example the SFHA impacted parcel can be found on Appendix H. The analysis of the residential dwelling units within the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) resulted in 72 parcels which have the potential to submit application for consideration of HUD funds. SFHA, is defined as the area that will be inundated by the flood event having a 1-percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The 1-percent annual chance flood is also referred to as the base flood or 100-year flood. SFHAs are those areas labeled as Zone A, Zone AO, Zone AH, Zones A1-A30, Zone AE, Zone A99, Zone AR, Zone AR/AE, Zone AR/AO, Zone AR/A1-A30, Zone AR/A, Zone V, Zone VE, and Zones V1-V30. The same analysis of the residential dwelling units within the Moderate Flood Hazard Areas resulted in up to 231 parcels which have the potential to submit application for consideration of HUD funds. The Moderate Flood Hazard Areas are included those labeled as Zone B or Zone X (shaded), areas between the

limits of the base flood and the 0.2-percent-annual-chance (or 500-year) flood.

Mitigation Strategy: As a mitigation strategy an ArcGIS viewer which can be utilized to verify each parcels proximity to the FEMA SFHA and Moderate Flood Hazard Areas has been created. Any parcel found to be within the SFHA or any Critical Action proposed to be within the Moderate Flood Hazard Area will be required to be reviewed through the 8 step process outlined in 24CFR Part 55.20. Certain activities are exempt from the 8 step process and may be complete in an abbreviated 5-step process outlined in 24 CFR 55.20 as outlined in 24 CRFR 55.12 Critical Actions, are emergencies services fire and police protection, housing for sensitive populations such as hospitals or nursing home, courthouse, and public facilities like water plant.

**Supporting documentation**

[Appendix H\\_SFHA and MHA impacted dwellings.pdf](#)

[Appendix G\\_FEMA Flood Zones.pdf](#)

**Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

Yes

No

**Historic Preservation**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) require a consultative process to identify historic properties, assess project impacts on them, and avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects	Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f)	36 CFR 800 “Protection of Historic Properties” <a href="https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-vol3-part800.pdf">https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-vol3-part800.pdf</a>

**Threshold**

**Is Section 106 review required for your project?**

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA ). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.)

No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

- ✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

**Step 1 – Initiate Consultation**

Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- ✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) In progress

- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)

- ✓ Eastern Shoshone Tribe In progress

- ✓ Northwestern Band of Shoshone Nation In progress
- ✓ Shoshone-Bannock Tribes In progress

Other Consulting Parties

**Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:**

The Reviewer used the Triable Directory Assessment Tool (TDAT) to determine the tribe with interest in the area.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

**Was the Section 106 Lender Delegation Memo used for Section 106 consultation?**

- Yes
- No

**Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties**

1. **Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below:**

A map has been uploaded of the grant spatial extent

**In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.**

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location / District	National Register Status	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive Information
-------------------------------	--------------------------	------------------	-----------------------

**Additional Notes:**

2. **Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?**

- Yes

✓ No

***Step 3 – Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties***

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

**Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.**

No Historic Properties Affected

No Adverse Effect

✓ Adverse Effect

**Document reason for finding; upload the criteria with summary and justification.  
Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR 800.5.**

Some residence in the grant area have a potential to have adverse effect if the correct processes are not followed. As part of Tier II site-specific environmental reviews, individual project will be reviewed for potential local, state, national, triable and archaeological significance. The Utah SHPO and any interested Tribal Consulting Agency will be sent a site-specific work plan, which will include scope of work and photos. Weber Morgan Health Department, Healthy Homes Grant staff members will create that report. Our office will ensure that both the Utah SHPO and all Tribal Consulting Agencies approval the scope of work. Additional we will work with any other consulting parties that may be relevant to the decision including local historic preservations boards.

***Step 4 – Resolve Adverse Effects***

Work with consulting parties to try to avoid, minimize or mitigate adverse effects. Refer to HUD

Exchange guidance and 36 CFR 800.6 and 800.7.

**Were the Adverse Effects resolved?**

✓ Yes

**Describe the resolution of Adverse Effects, including consultation efforts and participation by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation:**

As part of Tier II site-specific environmental reviews, individual project will be reviewed for its potential local, state, national, triable and archeological significance. The Utah SHPO and any interested Tribal Consulting Agency will be sent a site-specific work plan, which will include scope of work and photos. Weber Morgan Health Department, Healthy Homes Grant staff members will create that report. Our office will ensure that both the Utah SHPO and all Tribal Consulting Agencies approval the scope of work. Additional we will work with any other consulting parties that may be relevant to the decision including local historic preservations boards

**For the**

**project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.**

The most likely mitigations strategy will be to restrict the scope of work to the interiors of the residence. Restricting the work to areas that will not change the historic appearance of the residence. In the case of a Tribal Consulting Agency, we will first review their concerns and collaborate with them to ensure any agreed upon scope of work and or not fund rehabilitation to that specific residence.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the signed Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) or Standard Mitigation Measures Agreement (SMMA) below.

No

**Screen Summary**

**Compliance Determination**

Based on Section 106 consultation the project will have an Adverse Effect on historic properties. With mitigation, as identified in the MOA or SMMA, the project will be in compliance with Section 106. Satisfactory implementation of the mitigation should be monitored. Site specific reviews must consider whether the action will affect historic resources. For each proposed project, the environmental review preparer will consult with Utah's State Historic Preservation Office for determination of historical status and upload documentation supporting determination and mitigation as necessary. Tribal Consultation letters were sent to the five tribal contacts listed on the T DAT

Report with an area of interest of Weber County, UT. The tribes notified included: the Eastern Shoshone Tribe of the Wind River Reservation, the Northwestern Band of the Shoshone Nation, and the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes of the Fort Hall Reservation. The preparer will determine during site specific reviews if addition consult with interested tribal residents and/or the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer. If the project will involve an historic property, only scope of work that have no adverse effect on historic properties will be approved.

**Supporting documentation**

[Histroic presrevation grant area map\(1\).pdf](#)

**Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

Yes

No



**Noise Abatement and Control**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD’s noise regulations protect residential properties from excessive noise exposure. HUD encourages mitigation as appropriate.	Noise Control Act of 1972  General Services Administration Federal Management Circular 75-2: “Compatible Land Uses at Federal Airfields”	Title 24 CFR 51 Subpart B

**1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:**

New construction for residential use

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

NOTE: For modernization projects in all noise zones, HUD encourages mitigation to reduce levels to acceptable compliance standards. See 24 CFR 51 Subpart B for further details. The definition of “modernization” is determined by program office guidance.

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster  
 None of the above

**3. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the vicinity (1000’ from a major road, 3000’ from a railroad, or 15 miles from an airport).**

Describe findings of the Preliminary Screening:

For project which includes two or more major building components such as: For these projects noise assessments will be performed and if the noise level is normally unacceptable or unacceptable noise attenuation features will be strongly encouraged

(24 CFR 51.101 [a][5]).

**Screen Summary****Compliance Determination**

The project is modernization or minor rehabilitation of an existing residential property. A Preliminary Screening was performed, and found the following: For project which includes two or more major building components such as: For these projects noise assessments will be performed and if the noise level is normally unacceptable or unacceptable noise attenuation features will be strongly encouraged (24 CFR 51.101 [a][5]). The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation with mitigation.

**Supporting documentation****Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

Yes

No

**Sole Source Aquifers**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
<p><b>The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 protects drinking water systems which are the sole or principal drinking water source for an area and which, if contaminated, would create a significant hazard to public health.</b></p>	<p>Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 201, 300f et seq., and 21 U.S.C. 349)</p>	<p>40 CFR Part 149</p>

**1. Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?**

Yes

No

**2. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?**

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

< No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

Yes

**3. Does your region have a memorandum of understanding (MOU) or other working agreement with Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for HUD projects impacting a sole source aquifer?**

Yes

No

### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description, the project consists of activities that are unlikely to have an adverse impact on groundwater resources. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. The extent of the Healthy Home Project area has been verified as outside of any identified Sole Source Aquifer (SSA). The reference material used to verify this has been obtained from the EPA's SSA interactive map URL below.

<https://epa.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=9ebb047ba3ec41ada1877155fe31356b> An ArcGIS shapefile of the SSAs created on 4/15/2024, was downloaded from the URL link below and verified as consistent with the EPA's Sole Source Aquifer Map. The shapefile was utilized to create the map image Appendix I showing the proximity of the grant area from any SSA.

[https://services2.arcgis.com/S8zZg9pg23JUEexQ/arcgis/rest/services/HYDRO\\_Sole\\_Source\\_Aquifers\\_spf/FeatureServer](https://services2.arcgis.com/S8zZg9pg23JUEexQ/arcgis/rest/services/HYDRO_Sole_Source_Aquifers_spf/FeatureServer) The nearest SSA to the project area is the Western Uinta Arch Paleozoic Aquifer System at Oakley, Utah which is approximately 50 mile at a minimum for the project area.

#### **Supporting documentation**

[Appendix I\\_Sole Soruce Aquifer small scale.pdf](#)

#### **Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

Yes

✓ No

**Wetlands Protection**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or indirect support of new construction impacting wetlands wherever there is a practicable alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service’s National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a primary screening tool, but observed or known wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also be processed Off-site impacts that result in draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands must also be processed.	Executive Order 11990	24 CFR 55.20 can be used for general guidance regarding the 8 Step Process.

**1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building’s footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order**

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

**Screen Summary**

**Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. The proposed project includes only renovations of existing residential structures. Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. Therefore, the project is in compliance with the Executive Order 1199.0 and Section 404 of the clean water act To be diligent in the ERR process our office has completed a review of residential units which may apply for funding under this grant that are within an area delineated as a wetland. Utilizing an ArcGIS Weber County parcel shapefile, and Census tract polygon shapefile from which the Healthy Home Project area was defined the following information was obtained. The grant area includes 14,413 unique land parcels. Of those 10,363 may be utilized as residential dwellings. Residential dwelling units include single family dwelling, multifamily dwelling, commercial lot with single family dwellings etc. An interactive ArcGIS Wetland map (shapefile) was downloaded from a website operated by the National Wetland Inventory <https://fwsprimary.wim.usgs.gov/wetlands/apps/wetlands-mapper/> .The wetland

map was downloaded on May 23, 2024. The ArcGIS Wetland shapefile was used to analysis the residential dwelling units within delineated wetlands. A map of the Wetland delineation and the Healthy Home Project area can be found on Appendix J. An example of the impacted parcel can be found on Appendix K. The analysis of the residential dwelling units within the wetland delineation resulted in 249 parcel which have the potential to submit application for consideration of funds that are within an identified wetland. As part of Tier II site-specific environmental reviews which will be completed for each project location, each residence will be verified as outside of the wetland delineation area; or alternatively identified as within the wetland delineation. If the individual project location is within a wetland delineation area, a detailed map of wetland delineation overlaid onto the parcel will be generated. Additionally, documentation of the type of wetlands i.e. manmade canal, emergent wetlands etc. will also be included.

**Supporting documentation**

[Appendix K Wetland dwelling.pdf](#)

[Appendix J Wetland studyarea.pdf](#)

**Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

Yes

✓ No

**Wild and Scenic Rivers Act**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act provides federal protection for certain free-flowing, wild, scenic and recreational rivers designated as components or potential components of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System (NWSRS) from the effects of construction or development.	The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287), particularly section 7(b) and (c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	36 CFR Part 297

**1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?**

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

**Screen Summary**

**Compliance Determination**

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. The extent of the Healthy Home Project area has been verified as outside any area of potential impact to a Wild and Scenic River Segment (WASR) or a National Wild River Systems (NWRS) including any study river of a Nation River Inventory (NRI) segment. The reference material used to verify this has been obtained from the WASR and NRI interactive maps, URLs below. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic River Act 16U.S.C. 1271-1287 without implementation of any mitigation strategy <https://www.rivers.gov/resources/river-management-plans> <https://www.nps.gov/orgs/1084/index.htm> The nearest NRI segment to the project area is the Rock Creek (River), Headwaters Southerly to boundary of High Uinta's Wilderness (Reach), Utah which is approximately 70 miles at a minimum for the project area. Appendix L The nearest WASR segment to the project area is the Green Wild & Scenic River in Utah which is approximately 165 mile at a minimum for the project area Appendix M The ArcGIS shapefile data sources (URL) utilized for the creation of the maps shown in Appendix L and Appendix M are referenced below \* NPS National WASR Inventory [https://apps.fs.usda.gov/arcx/rest/services/EDW/EDW\\_WildScenicRiverSegments\\_01/MapServer/1](https://apps.fs.usda.gov/arcx/rest/services/EDW/EDW_WildScenicRiverSegments_01/MapServer/1) <https://mapservices.nps.gov> date created 9/12/2019 \* NPS National Wild River Inventory Layer downloaded from <https://mapservices.nps.gov> date created 3/2/2021

**Supporting documentation**

[Appendix M\\_NationalWildand Scencic Rvier Segemetns.pdf](#)

[Appendix L\\_NRI.pdf](#)

**Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

Yes

✓ No



**Environmental Justice**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project creates adverse environmental impacts upon a low-income or minority community. If it does, engage the community in meaningful participation about mitigating the impacts or move the project.	Executive Order 12898	

**HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.**

**1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project’s total environmental review?**

Yes

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

**Screen Summary**

**Compliance Determination**

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898. The proposed project will not create disproportionate environmental impacts or aggravate an existing impact. It will not have a disproportionate adverse impact on minority or low income populations. This project is not in an environmental justice community of concern. The project will ensure that the HUD's Environmental Justice Strategies (updated April 2012) and its guiding principle of: 1) comprehensive, holistic approach to problem solving, 2) Tribal Consultations, 3) Fairness, equality, non-discriminations, and equal opportunity and 4) Transparency and accountability, are considered for all funded projects. The Project will not cause an impact, including those that may adversely impact a minority population, low income population or Indian tribe such as: ecological, social impact, impact on the natural or psychical environment, or adverse environmental hazards.

**Supporting documentation**

**Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

Yes

No